UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,108	10/12/2005	Nobuo Mochizuki	20241/0203481-US0	8647
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 03/01/201 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	_	HAVLIN, ROBERT H		
Church Street S New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/01/2010	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/553,108	MOCHIZUKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT HAVLIN	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,5 and 13-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16 and 19 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,5,13-15,17,18 and 20 is/are rejection is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

## **DETAILED ACTION**

**Status of the claims:** Claims 1, 3, 5, and 13-20 are currently pending.

**Priority:** This application is a 371 of PCT/JP04/05237 04/13/2004 and claims foreign priority to JAPAN 2003-109667 (04/14/2003) and JAPAN 2004-023032 (01/30/2004).

RCE: A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/19/2010 has been entered.

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant previously elected Group I (claims 1-3, 5, 6, and 13) in the reply filed on 9/19/07.

Applicant also elected the species of Compound 37 reading on claims 1-3, 5, 13, and 14 with the following structure (G2=NH2):

As detailed below, the generic claim was found unpatentable and in accordance with the election of species practice described in the requirement for restriction, subject Application/Control Number: 10/553,108 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

matter not reading on the elected species is hereby withdrawn. Accordingly, claims 16 and 19 are hereby withdrawn.

#### Declaration

The declaration of Seiichi Uchida under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 1/19/2010 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5, 13-15, 17, 18, and 20 based upon 35 USC 103 as set forth in the last Office action for the following reasons.

Applicant has presented data in the declaration but has not demonstrated that the results are statistically significant. Specifically, the data used to compare the two compounds do not indicate the confidence intervals that would allow the examiner to properly evaluate whether the results were, in fact, unexpected. As recommended previously, the examiner again requests applicant to provide the relevant statistical confidence interval pertaining to the values in the declaration. This is required to meet applicants burden as required by MPEP § 716.02(b).

#### RESPONSE TO APPLICANT ARGUMENTS

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has deleted the indefinite "substituted" language, therefore this rejection is **withdrawn**.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 13-15, 17, 18 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,342,516 ('516) in view of Patani et al. (Chem. Rev., 1996, Vol. 96, No. 8, P. 3147-3176).

Applicant argues that the 132 declaration establishes the nonobviousness of the claims. Because the 132 declaration was not found persuasive as to the nonobviousness of the claims, this rejection is **maintained**.

#### Conclusion

No generic claim was held allowable, the claims are restricted to the elected species only and the remaining subject matter held withdrawn. The claims are not in condition for allowance.

#### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT HAVLIN whose telephone number is (571)272-9066. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 7:30am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Joe McKane can be reached at (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,108 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert Havlin/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626 /Kamal A Saeed/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626